

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,776	08/05/2003	Frederick G. St. Goar	17315-002001.	1704
20985	7590 11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-, · · · · · · · ·		3738	
		•	DATE MAIL ED. 11/01/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R
---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,776	ST. GOAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Thomas C. Barrett	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 August 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ndrawn nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or alaction requirement		
o) Ciaini(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) dbjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docur</li> </ol>	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	<b>4</b> \	Summany (PTO 412)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

## Election/Restrictions

The election of August 16, 2006 is non-responsive. The Applicant fails to specifically elect a species of catheter, fastener and support structure. The response was also unclear in regards to elected claims, i.e. the applicant elected the "the embodiment that corresponds to claim 43 yet does not elect claim 43. However, the Examiner concedes that the restriction requirement may have been confusing so another restriction requirement in lieu of the first follows.

This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species. Please select:

The species of paragraph 0020:

A first aspect of the method of the present invention, the tissue structure comprises the valve leaflets and the engaging step comprises attaching one or more opposed points on or along the valve leaflets together.

If this species is elected please select on of the following as sub-species:

Wherein the attachment of leaflets is by suturing, clipping, stapling, riveting, gluing, or fusing

The species of paragraph 0025:

A second aspect of the method of the present invention, the tissue structure comprises the chordae and the engaging step comprises linking opposed chordae together, i.e., chordae attached to different valve leaflets.

The species of paragraph 0026:

A third aspect of the method of the present invention, the tissue structure comprises the chordae and the engaging step comprises applying energy to shorten the chordae. A fourth aspect of the method of the present invention, the tissue structure comprises the valve annulus and the engaging step comprises circumferentially tightening or shortening the annulus.

If this species is elected please select one of the following sub-species:

The use of an annuloplasty ring, surgical plication techniques, or shrinking tissue within the annulus by applying radiofrequency energy.

The species of paragraph 0028:

A fifth aspect of the method of the present invention, the tissue structure comprises the papillary muscles and the engaging step comprises capturing and drawing opposed points or portions of the papillary muscles together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic, however the Applicant is asked to confirm or traverse this conclusion.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/635,776

Art Unit: 3738

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,776

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-4746. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri. from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas C. Barrett

Examiner

Art Unit 3738

TOM BARRETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700